

Amendment by the Coalition

Planning Committee

14 October 2020

Item 7.1 - Princes Street and Waverley Valley Strategy – Project Scope

Delete paragraph 4.7 and replace with the following wording:

4.7 Forthcoming considerations include: The Princes Street and Waverley Valley Strategy will provide context and guidance, including civic considerations, within which forthcoming planning applications will be considered, such as the Waverley Station masterplan by Network Rail; and the Ross Development Trust's proposals to replace the Ross Theatre with a new event pavilion, associated facilities, landscaping and access improvements and other projects which may have a significant impact. As ECCT also progresses, central streets will be increasingly oriented towards walking, wheeling, cycling and public transport and new areas of public realm established, such as at Waverley Bridge

Moved by: Cllr Gardiner

Seconded by: Cllr Child

Amendment – Conservative Group

Item No. 7.1

Report Title - Princes Street and Waverley Valley Strategy – Project Scope

Deletes 1.1. and replaces with:

Notes the Report and its attempt to bring together a complex policy landscape which cuts across Council areas; is concerned that no decision has been taken to move Council policy into cross cutting place based policy statements and until such time as the Council moves to such a delivery framework considers this to be premature and regrets that the report does not fully consider the relationship between Princes Street and the bus network and therefore:

Calls for a review of current documentation and policies to be conducted and presented to Committee with all background papers available as appendices before instructing further work so that Committee can consider whether there are any gaps in policy that need further development or whether a review and consolidation of existing policy is sufficient at this time given the constrained Council resources.

Moved by Councillor Joanna Mowat

Seconded by Councillor

Amendment – Conservative Group

Item No. 8.1

Report Title - Legal Review of Council Planning Processes – Implementation and Resources Impacts

Agrees 1.1.1 with further clarification:

Appendix 1:

4.15(i) Best practice is that Councillors should submit additional information they receive prior to the Committee to officers as soon as possible and advise officers if they plan to ask questions on this at Committee; officers should advise if they can respond to those requests and Committee will then decide whether a pause is required;

4.19 (i) It should be clear to Convener and Committee that legal advice is available should this be required, where this is not requested prior to Committee and requested at Committee it should be Committee's decision whether to pause or continue the item;

4.20 (iv) The Convener and Vice Convener with Planning Officers should determine at their agenda planning meeting which Committees require the presence of a Council solicitor and revert to process at 4.19 (i) where there is no solicitor present and another member of the Committee raises issues that the require a legal opinion;

4.21 (iv) To note that elected members may take part in determination of Planning Applications which have been the subject of a site visit without attending the site visit.

Moved by Councillor Joanna Mowat

Seconded by Councillor