

Houghton Planning Ltd.
FAO: Paul Houghton MRTPI
Alloa Business Centre
Whins Road
Alloa
Clacks
FK10 3RF

Ms Berry.
7 East Castle Road
Edinburgh
EH10 5AP

Decision date: 19 January 2024

**TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACTS
DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2013**

Use of flat for short-term let use (Sui Generis) and residential flat (in retrospect).
At 313 Webster's Land Edinburgh EH1 2RU

Application No: 23/03626/FULSTL

DECISION NOTICE

With reference to your application for Planning Permission STL registered on 10 August 2023, this has been decided by **Local Delegated Decision**. The Council in exercise of its powers under the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Acts and regulations, now determines the application as **Refused** in accordance with the particulars given in the application.

Any condition(s) attached to this consent, with reasons for imposing them, or reasons for refusal, are shown below;

Reason for Refusal:-

1. The proposal is contrary to Local Development Plan Policy Hou 7 in respect of Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas, as the use of this dwelling as a short term let will have a materially detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents.
2. The proposal is contrary to National Planning Framework Policy 30(e) in respect of Local Amenity and Loss of Residential Accommodation, as the use of this dwelling

as a short term let will result in an adverse impact on local amenity and the loss of a residential property that has not been justified.

Please see the guidance notes on our [decision page](#) for further information, including how to appeal or review your decision.

Drawings 01, 02, represent the determined scheme. Full details of the application can be found on the [Planning and Building Standards Online Services](#)

The reason why the Council made this decision is as follows:

The proposal complies with section 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 as it will preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the conservation area.

The change of use of this property to a short term let (STL) will result in a loss of the residential accommodation and a negative impact to neighbouring amenity. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the City as a whole from the provision of visitor accommodation in this case it does not outweigh the loss of residential accommodation or the impact to neighbouring amenity. The proposal does not comply with Development Plan policy NPF4 policy 30(e) and LDP plan policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

This determination does not carry with it any necessary consent or approval for the proposed development under other statutory enactments.

Should you have a specific enquiry regarding this decision please contact James Armstrong directly at james.armstrong@edinburgh.gov.uk.



Chief Planning Officer
PLACE
The City of Edinburgh Council

NOTES

1. If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision to refuse permission for or approval required by a condition in respect of the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, the applicant may require the planning authority to review the case under section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 within three months beginning with the date of this notice. The Notice of Review can be made online at www.eplanning.scot or forms can be downloaded from that website. Paper forms should be addressed to the City of Edinburgh Planning Local Review Body, G.2, Waverley Court, 4 East Market Street, Edinburgh, EH8 8BG. For enquiries about the Local Review Body, please email localreviewbody@edinburgh.gov.uk.

2. If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, the owner of the land may serve on the planning authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of the owner of the land's interest in the land accordance with Part 5 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

Report of Handling

**Application for Planning Permission STL
313 Webster's Land, Edinburgh, EH1 2RU**

Proposal: Use of flat for short-term let use (Sui Generis) and residential flat (in retrospect).

**Item – Local Delegated Decision
Application Number – 23/03626/FULSTL
Ward – B11 - City Centre**

Recommendation

It is recommended that this application be **Refused** subject to the details below.

Summary

The proposal complies with section 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 as it will preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the conservation area.

The change of use of this property to a short term let (STL) will result in a loss of the residential accommodation and a negative impact to neighbouring amenity. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the City as a whole from the provision of visitor accommodation in this case it does not outweigh the loss of residential accommodation or the impact to neighbouring amenity. The proposal does not comply with Development Plan policy NPF4 policy 30(e) and LDP plan policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

SECTION A – Application Background

Site Description

The application site is a one bedroom flat located within the Webster's Land housing development on the northern side of West Port Road. The property shares its access to the street with other properties via the communal amenity space.

West Port Road is of mixed character, with cafes, restaurants, public houses and offices in the surrounding area. Bus and train links are accessible from the site.

The application site is within the Old Town Conservation Area, the Old and New Town World Heritage Site, and Local Nature Conservation Site.

Description Of The Proposal

The application is for the retrospective change of use from residential to short term let (sui generis). No internal or external physical changes are proposed.

Supporting Information

Photos

Relevant Site History

No relevant site history.

Other Relevant Site History

No other relevant site history was identified.

Consultation Engagement

No consultations.

Publicity and Public Engagement

Date of Neighbour Notification: 19 January 2024

Date of Advertisement: 25 August 2023

Date of Site Notice: 25 August 2023

Number of Contributors: 4

Section B - Assessment

Determining Issues

Due to the proposed development falling within a conservation area, this report will first consider the proposals in terms of Section 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997:

- Is there a strong presumption against granting planning permission due to the development conflicting with the objective of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area?
- If the strong presumption against granting planning permission is engaged, are there any significant public interest advantages of the development which can only be delivered at the scheme's proposed location that are sufficient to outweigh it?

This report will then consider the proposed development under Sections 24, 25 and 37 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 (the 1997 Act):

Having regard to the legal requirement of Section 24(3), in the event of any policy incompatibility between National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) & Edinburgh Local Development Plan 2016 (LDP) the newer policy shall prevail.

Do the proposals comply with the development plan?

If the proposals do comply with the development plan, are there any compelling material considerations for not approving them?

If the proposals do not comply with the development plan, are there any compelling material considerations for approving them?

In the assessment of material considerations this report will consider:

- equalities and human rights;
- public representations; and
- any other identified material considerations.

Assessment

The application has been submitted in retrospect. The applicant indicates on the application form that the operation of the property as a short stay let commenced in January 2021. This was prior to 5 September 2022, which is when the Edinburgh short-term let control designation came into effect. As the designation does not have a retrospective effect it is necessary to consider whether the use of the property as a commercial short stay let is a material change of use.

On the basis of the application form, the description of development, drawings and supporting information it is considered that the proposals constitute a material change of use under Section 26 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

a) The proposals harm the character or appearance of the conservation area?

Section 64(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 states:

"In exercise, with respect to any buildings or other land in a conservation area, of any powers under any of the provisions in subsection (2), special attention shall be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of that area."

The Old Town Conservation Area Character Appraisal emphasises the survival of the original medieval street pattern; the wealth of important landmark buildings; the survival of an outstanding collection of archaeological remains, medieval buildings, and 17th-century town houses; the consistent and harmonious height and mass of buildings; the importance of stone as a construction material for both buildings and the public realm; the vitality and variety of different uses; and the continuing presence of a residential community.

As stated previously, there are no external changes proposed. The change of use will not impact on the character or appearance of the conservation area. Therefore, the proposal does not conflict with the objective or preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area.

Conclusion in relation to the conservation area

The proposals comply with Section 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997.

b) The proposals comply with the development plan?

National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) was adopted by the Scottish Ministers on 13 February 2023 and forms part of the Council's Development Plan. NPF4 policies supports the planning and delivery of Sustainable Places, Liveable Places and Productive Places and are the key policies against which proposals for development are assessed. Several policies in the Edinburgh Local Development Plan (LDP) are superseded by equivalent and alternative policies within NPF4.

The relevant NPF4 and LDP 2016 policies to be considered are:

- NPF4 Sustainable Places Tackling the climate and nature crises Policy 1.
- NPF4 Productive Places Tourism Policy 30.
- Local Development Plan Housing Policy, Hou 7.
- Local Development Plan Transport Policies, Tra 2 and Tra 3

The non-statutory Guidance for Business (2021) is a material consideration that is relevant when considering LDP Policy Hou 7 and NPF4 policy 30.

The Edinburgh Design Guidance is a material consideration when considering LDP Policies Tra 2 and Tra 3.

Proposed use

With regards to NPF 4 Policy 1, the proposed change of use does not involve operational development resulting in physical changes to the property. The proposals will have a negligible impact on the global climate and nature crisis.

NPF4 Policy 30 seeks to encourage, promote and facilitate sustainable tourism development which benefits local people, is consistent with our net zero and nature commitments, and inspires people to visit Scotland. Criterion 30 (e) specifically relate to STL proposals.

LDP Policy Hou 7 (Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas) seeks to protect residential amenity.

Amenity

Whilst the proposal is unlikely to result in adverse amenity impacts on residents living outside of Webster's Land due to the mix of uses and high level of activity on West Port, within Webster's land the character of the area is predominantly residential featuring a low degree of activity during the day and night. The use of the property as an STL would result in an increased frequency of movement to the property through the shared amenity areas and stairs that Webster's land is organised around, representing a pattern of movement that would be dissimilar to that of permanent residents. A transient visitor may also have less regard for neighbours' amenity than individuals using the property as a principal home, with resultant negative amenity impacts, particularly at night. There may also be an impact to community cohesion and resident safety.

However, it is recognised that the amenity impacts of the application are to a degree mitigated as there is a high likelihood that the additional servicing of the property necessitated by the change of use would be conducted during the daytime.

On balance, the proposed change of use would increase the level of ambient background noise beyond what might be reasonably expected by neighbouring residents, and have a significant detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents. The proposal does not comply with NPF 4 policy 30(e) part (i) and LDP policy Hou 7.

Loss of residential accommodation

NPF4 policy 30 (e) part (ii) requires that where there is a loss of residential accommodation, this will only be supported where the loss is outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits.

Paragraph 220 of the LDP acknowledges that tourism is the biggest source of employment in Edinburgh, providing jobs for over 31,000 people. The use of the property by guests and the required maintenance and upkeep of STL properties are likely to result in a level of job creation and spend within the economy which can be classed as having an economic benefit.

However, it is important to recognise that having the property within residential use also contributes to the economy, using local services and fulfilling employment opportunities across the City. Long term residents can also make consistent and long-term contributions to the local community.

The proposed change of use would result in a loss of residential accommodation, which, as there is a recognised need and demand for housing in Edinburgh, it is critical to retain the existing supply of where appropriate. In this instance, it has not been sufficiently demonstrated that the loss of the residential accommodation is outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits. As such, the proposal does not comply with NPF 4 30(e) part (ii).

Transport

No parking is proposed. This is acceptable as there are no parking requirements for STLs. The change of use of one property to a short term let will not result in a significant impact to traffic congestion in the local area.

The proposals comply with LDP Policies Tra 2 and Tra 3.

Conclusion in relation to the Development Plan

The change of use of this property to an STL will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the City as a whole from the provision of visitor accommodation in this case it does not outweigh the loss of residential accommodation or the adverse impact on residential amenity. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7.

c) There are any other material considerations which must be addressed?

The following material planning considerations have been identified:

Emerging policy context

City Plan 2030 represents the settled will of the Council, and it has been submitted to Scottish Ministers for examination. As such, limited weight can be attached to it as a material consideration in the determination of this application.

Independent economic impact assessment

An independent economic impact assessment was commissioned by the Planning Service, and this resulted in a report on the Economic Impact of Residential and Short-Term Let Properties in Edinburgh (the Economic Report). This was reported to Planning Committee on 14 June 2023. The Committee noted that the findings of the report are one source of information that can be considered when assessing the economic impacts of short-term let planning applications and that given the report is considering generalities rather than the specifics of an individual case, it is likely that only limited weight can be attached to it as a material consideration when making planning application decisions. The study considered the economic impact of various types of properties in Edinburgh if used as a residential property as opposed to being used for short-term holiday lettings.

The Economic Report shows that there are positive economic impacts from the use of properties for both residential use and short-term let use. The Report found that in general the gross value added (GVA) effects are greater for residential uses than short-term lets across all property types and all areas. However, given it is considering generalities rather than the specifics of this individual case, only limited weight can be attached to it as a material consideration in the determination of this application.

Equalities and human rights

Due regard has been given to section 149 of the Equalities Act 2010. A public representation has highlighted that the proposal may negatively impact a person with a protected characteristic. Due consideration has been given to the potential impact.

Consideration has been given to human rights. No impacts have been identified through the assessment and no comments have been received in relation to human rights.

Public representations

The application received 3 objections, one of which was from the Toll Cross Community Council, as well as one neutral comment. A summary of the representation is provided below:

material considerations in objection

- Negative impact to neighbouring amenity (noise). Assessed in section b).
- Negative impact to neighbouring amenity (security). Assessed in section b).

- Impact to parking provision. Assessed in section b).
- Negative impact to the World Heritage Site. Assessed in section b).
- Negative impact to the area's sense of place and local community. Assessed in section b).
- Contrary to the Development Plan.
- Negative impact to traffic congestion. Assessed in section b).

non-material considerations

- The servicing of STL properties in general often involves cleaning items and luggage being left in communal areas.
- The proposed use would result in anti-social behaviour. Anti-social behaviour is a matter for Police Scotland.
- Over occupation of the STL properties in general.
- Impacts to the mental health of residents living near STLs in general.
- Impact to property and rental prices of STLS in general. Property prices are a matter of private interest and comments must relate to this particular application.
- Impact to the maintenance of the building.
- Negative impact to refuse and recycling services.

Conclusion in relation to identified material considerations

Identified material considerations have been assessed above and do not raise issues which outweigh the conclusion in relation to the development plan.

Overall conclusion

The proposal complies with section 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 as it will preserve or enhance the character or appearance of the conservation area.

The change of use of this property to an STL will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the City as a whole from the provision of visitor accommodation in this case it does not outweigh the loss of residential accommodation or the adverse impact on residential amenity. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

Section C - Conditions/Reasons/Informatives

The recommendation is subject to the following;

Reason for Refusal

1. The proposal is contrary to Local Development Plan Policy Hou 7 in respect of Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas, as the use of this dwelling as a short term let

will have a materially detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents.

2. The proposal is contrary to National Planning Framework Policy 30(e) in respect of Local Amenity and Loss of Residential Accommodation, as the use of this dwelling as a short term let will result in an adverse impact on local amenity and the loss of a residential property that has not been justified.

Background Reading/External References

To view details of the application go to the [Planning Portal](#)

Further Information - [Local Development Plan](#)

Date Registered: 10 August 2023

Drawing Numbers/Scheme

01, 02

Scheme 1

David Givan
Chief Planning Officer
PLACE
The City of Edinburgh Council

Contact: James Armstrong, Planning Officer
E-mail: james.armstrong@edinburgh.gov.uk

Appendix 1

Consultations

No consultations undertaken.

Appendix 2

Application Certification Record

Case Officer

I have assessed the application against the City of Edinburgh Council's Scheme of Delegation (2023) Appendix 6 – Chief Planning Officer and the Statutory Scheme of Delegation (2023) and can confirm the application is suitable to be determined under Local Delegated Decision, decision-making route.

Case Officer: James Armstrong

Date: 18 January 2024

Authorising Officer

To be completed by an officer as authorised by the Chief Planning Officer to determined applications under delegated powers.

I can confirm that I have checked the Report of Handling and agree the recommendation by the case officer.

Authorising Officer (mRTPI): Elaine Campbell

Date: 19 January 2024

Comments for Planning Application 23/03626/FULSTL

Application Summary

Application Number: 23/03626/FULSTL

Address: 313 Webster's Land Edinburgh EH1 2RU

Proposal: Use of flat for short-term let use (Sui Generis) and residential flat (in retrospect).

Case Officer: Improvement Team

Customer Details

Name: Ms Sarah Lewis

Address: 38/9 West Port Edinburgh

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Member of Public

Stance: Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: As long as the applicant has a trade waste contract or similar in place, I cannot see this causing any issues.

Comments for Planning Application 23/03626/FULSTL

Application Summary

Application Number: 23/03626/FULSTL

Address: 313 Webster's Land Edinburgh EH1 2RU

Proposal: Use of flat for short-term let use (Sui Generis) and residential flat (in retrospect).

Case Officer: Improvement Team

Customer Details

Name: Dr Paul Beswick

Address: 6 Gillespie Street, Edinburgh, EH3 9NH Edinburgh

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Community Council

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment:TOLLCROSS COMMUNITY COUNCIL

22 August 2023

Head of Planning

Department of City Development

City Development - Planning & Strategy

Waverley Court

4 East Market Street

Edinburgh EH8 8BG

World Heritage Site Old Town Conservation Area Use of flat for short term let use (Sui Generis) and residential flat (in retrospect). at 313 Webster's Land Edinburgh EH1 2RU

23/03626/FULSTL

Case Officer: Improvement Team

Tollcross Community Council would like to object to this application for a short term let in this close-knit community with shared walkways and entrances. We object for the following reasons, many of which have been conveyed to us by local residents.

1. Nuisance caused to other residents :

a) Disturbance and noise - short-term lets bring an increased level of noise and disturbance. The

groups occupying the property on a short-term basis are almost always on holiday with the associated holiday mindset seven days a week. Most properties in Scotland do not have carpeted entrances and hallways as hotels do, so the banging of suitcases at all hours and the excited chatter of customers echoes at entrances and in hallways. There is additional noise and disturbance as groups bring their belongings in and out of properties, including dragging suitcases and banging them off walls and doors, damaging the paintwork. Cleaners and greeters attend to turn over each property between every short-term let, which can mean banging and vacuuming past midnight. Cleaners and laundry companies may leave trip hazards in shared areas such as large laundry bags. Some letting agencies offer "luggage drop off" services where suitcases are then left in common stairs to be retrieved by customers later.

b) Anti-social behaviour - Neighbours of short-term lets are almost guaranteed to experience anti-social behaviour from customers. Alcohol is a regular contributing factor which makes these situations particularly unpredictable and intimidating to deal with. Regular complaints have been made relating to waste disposal, parking issues, noise, people returning late at night or arriving early in the morning, customers ringing.

c) Security - The security of communal spaces is completely lost. Neighbours cannot tell who is supposed to be in the property and who is not, or who has keys to shared areas. The insecurity of a shared building is advertised by key safes which are installed without permission from neighbours or listed building consent. One doorway in this area has nine key boxes on the doorframe. There is evidence that short-term let customers are more likely to leave shared entrance doors open, which has resulted in residents coming home to unknown people using drugs, and engaging in other antisocial or threatening activities in their mutual shared areas. Residents of flats or properties with shared gardens are forced to share communal but still private areas of their homes with fee-paying strangers who they have never met before and are unlikely to meet again.

d) Overcrowding Short-term rentals often bring more than the advertised number of customers. Groups will also often invite their friends to visit and enjoy their short-term let bringing additional disturbance. Short-term let businesses often advertise for far more customers to stay than would normally reside in a property in relation to its size. In some instances, STLs have been used as party flats.

e) Waste disposal - Recycling is rarely carried out. Communal bins are often used and permanent residents are expected to manage putting out and collecting bins on behalf of the absent owners. Rubbish bags are often left out in communal stairs. Cleaners usually clean inside the short-term let properties but do not usually clean any shared areas. This leaves the residents to clear up after the customers or live in a poorer quality environment.

f) Mental health impacts - Residents draw huge comfort from a home which has a basic level of familiarity, stability and security. The regular intrusion of transient pleasure-seeking strangers is deeply unsettling. Neighbours of short-term lets regularly describe feeling acute stress, anxiety and other mental health impacts. This disproportionately impacts on disabled people, people with long term health conditions, people who live alone, children and young people.

2. An over-concentration of STLs in the Tollcross area and its consequences,

The very high concentration of STLs (coupled with increasing student residences) in central Edinburgh has consequences for the nature of the area and its demography. Parts of the city centre and World Heritage Site are no longer part of a living city and have lost a large proportion of long-term residents. Some residents are being driven out of the city. Far too many tenements now have a majority of flats used as STLs. Furthermore, this causes a loss of facilities and shops that long-term residents rely upon and their replacement with facilities and shops that support tourists but not residents. This was a major reason given by residents moving out of Amsterdam. The use of so many properties as STLs has an effect on property prices and rent levels with many residents on modest incomes no longer able to live in Edinburgh which we all acknowledge has a serious housing crisis.

It is for these reasons that we object to this application for a change of use and we hope that you will consider our objection favourably in your determination.

Yours faithfully,

Paul Beswick for Tollcross Community Council

Comments for Planning Application 23/03626/FULSTL

Application Summary

Application Number: 23/03626/FULSTL

Address: 313 Webster's Land Edinburgh EH1 2RU

Proposal: Use of flat for short-term let use (Sui Generis) and residential flat (in retrospect).

Case Officer: Improvement Team

Customer Details

Name: Mrs Elspeth Wills

Address: 3 Browns Place Edinburgh

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Residents Association

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: This appears to be another change of use application for a STL in a tenemented property which according to the applicant has been trading for some time. GRASS (Grassmarket Residents Association) with the full support of the Old Town Community Council objects on the usual grounds to any extension of STLs in a development which was built for affordable housing in a community which has already been hollowed out by STLs and over-tourism.

We trust that the Council will refuse this application.

Yours sincerely

Elspeth M Wills

Comments for Planning Application 23/03626/FULSTL

Application Summary

Application Number: 23/03626/FULSTL

Address: 313 Webster's Land Edinburgh EH1 2RU

Proposal: Use of flat for short-term let use (Sui Generis) and residential flat (in retrospect).

Case Officer: Improvement Team

Customer Details

Name: Dr The Architectural Heritage Society of Scotland

Address: 15 Rutland Square, Edinburgh EH1 2BE

Comment Details

Commenter Type: Amenity Body

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: The AHSS Forth & Borders Cases Panel has examined the proposals for the change of use to short-term let within the Old Town Conservation Area and World Heritage Site, and objects.

- 1) The proposals only relate to one property within the tenement, which is accessed from a shared stair. This would have a detrimental impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring properties, and limits the future of the flats not included within the application.
- 2) The change of use would contribute to the unsustainable growth of the short term let (STL) sector in Edinburgh. The economic benefits of tourism for Edinburgh are clear, and we celebrate the role that our architectural heritage plays in this sector. However, the current rising rate of STLs threatens the sense of place and community which are part of the city's attraction, and this is especially acute in the World Heritage Site.
- 3) Scottish Government Research has highlighted the links between STLs and the negative impacts of reduced availability of affordable housing, congestion and reduced quality of life through noise and disturbance (People, Communities and Places, October 2019, pp. iv-v)
- 4) With particular reference to architectural heritage the responsibility for the care and maintenance of communal areas and aspects of joint responsibility in listed buildings and conservation areas is diminished by the increase of short-term occupants.

The change of use does not respect the special characteristics of history and place reflected in the building's designation and location in the World Heritage Site, and would increase the negative impacts caused by the growth of STLs in Edinburgh.

The proposals contradict Edinburgh Council's Local Development Plan policies DES1 (Sense of place), DES5 (amenity of neighbours/refuse and recycling facilities), and HOU7 (Materially detrimental effect on the living conditions of nearby residents). We therefore object to the

application.