

Gray Planning
FAO: Neil Gray
Aye House,
Admiralty Business Park,
Rosyth, Dunfermline
KY11 2YW

Elder Homes Ltd.
FAO: Bogdan Velica
Cluny Lodge
10 - 16 Cluny Drive
Edinburgh
EH10 6DP

Decision date: 19 June 2024

**TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACTS
DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2013**

Retrospective change of use from flat (sui generis) to short-term let (Sui Generis).
At Flat 4 5 Ramsay Garden Edinburgh EH1 2NA

Application No: 23/04438/FULSTL

DECISION NOTICE

With reference to your application for Planning Permission STL registered on 27 September 2023, this has been decided by **Local Delegated Decision**. The Council in exercise of its powers under the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Acts and regulations, now determines the application as **Refused** in accordance with the particulars given in the application.

Any condition(s) attached to this consent, with reasons for imposing them, or reasons for refusal, are shown below;

Reason for Refusal:-

1. The proposal is contrary to Local Development Plan Policy Hou 7 in respect of Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas, as the use of this property as a short term let will have a materially detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents.
2. The proposal is contrary to National Planning Framework 4 Policy 30(e) in respect of Local Amenity and Loss of Residential Accommodation, as the use of this

dwelling as a short term let will result in an unacceptable impact on local amenity and the loss of a residential property has not been justified.

Please see the guidance notes on our [decision page](#) for further information, including how to appeal or review your decision.

Drawings 01, 02, represent the determined scheme. Full details of the application can be found on the [Planning and Building Standards Online Services](#)

The reason why the Council made this decision is as follows:

The change of use of this property to a short-term let (STL) will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the city as a whole from the provision of tourist accommodation, in this case it does not outweigh the adverse impact on residential amenity or loss of residential accommodation. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

This determination does not carry with it any necessary consent or approval for the proposed development under other statutory enactments.

Should you have a specific enquiry regarding this decision please contact Lesley Porteous directly at lesley.porteous@edinburgh.gov.uk.



Chief Planning Officer
PLACE
The City of Edinburgh Council

NOTES

1. If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision to refuse permission for or approval required by a condition in respect of the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, the applicant may require the planning authority to review the case under section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 within three months beginning with the date of this notice. The Notice of Review can be made online at www.eplanning.scot or forms can be downloaded from that website. Paper forms should be addressed to the City of Edinburgh Planning Local Review Body, G.2, Waverley Court, 4 East Market Street, Edinburgh, EH8 8BG. For enquiries about the Local Review Body, please email localreviewbody@edinburgh.gov.uk.

2. If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, the owner of the land may serve on the planning authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of the owner of the land's interest in the land accordance with Part 5 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

Report of Handling

**Application for Planning Permission STL
Flat 4 5 Ramsay Garden, Edinburgh, EH1 2NA**

Proposal: Retrospective change of use from flat (sui generis) to short-term let (Sui Generis).

**Item – Local Delegated Decision
Application Number – 23/04438/FULSTL
Ward – B11 - City Centre**

Recommendation

It is recommended that this application be **Refused** subject to the details below.

Summary

The change of use of this property to a short-term let (STL) will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the city as a whole from the provision of tourist accommodation, in this case it does not outweigh the adverse impact on residential amenity or loss of residential accommodation. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

SECTION A – Application Background

Site Description

The application site relates to a flat on two floors of a six-storey tenement block at 5 Ramsay Garden, off Castle Hill. The property has two bedrooms and shares its access and communal stairs with other flats.

Ramsay Garden is built around a communal courtyard and shared garden. It is accessed from Ramsay Lane, with Mound Place to the north and Castlehill to the south. The Esplanade of Edinburgh Castle is located to the rear of the application site. Whilst the property is located close to commercial activity, Ramsay Garden is a predominantly residential area. Public transport links are accessible from the site.

The site lies within the Old Town Conservation Area and the Old and New Towns of Edinburgh World Heritage Site.

Numbers 1 to 3, 11, 12 and 13 to 16 Ramsay Garden are part of a group of A listed buildings. The application property is not part of this listing.

Description Of The Proposal

The application is for a change of use from residential to short term let (sui-generis). No internal or external physical changes are proposed. The applicant has advised that the property has been used as a short term let since May 2021. Therefore, the application is retrospective.

Supporting Information

Supporting statement.

Relevant Site History

No relevant site history.

Other Relevant Site History

No other relevant planning site history.

Consultation Engagement

HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT SCOTLAND

Publicity and Public Engagement

Date of Neighbour Notification: 19 June 2024

Date of Advertisement: 6 October 2023

Date of Site Notice: 6 October 2023

Number of Contributors: 8

Section B - Assessment

Determining Issues

Due to the proposed development falling within a conservation area, this report will first consider the proposals in terms of Section 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997:

- Is there a strong presumption against granting planning permission due to the development conflicting with the objective of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area?
- If the strong presumption against granting planning permission is engaged, are there any significant public interest advantages of the development which can only be delivered at the scheme's proposed location that are sufficient to outweigh it?

This report will then consider the proposed development under Sections 24, 25 and 37 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 (the 1997 Act):

Having regard to the legal requirement of Section 24(3), in the event of any policy incompatibility between National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) & Edinburgh Local Development Plan 2016 (LDP) the newer policy shall prevail.

Do the proposals comply with the development plan?

If the proposals do comply with the development plan, are there any compelling material considerations for not approving them?

If the proposals do not comply with the development plan, are there any compelling material considerations for approving them?

In the assessment of material considerations this report will consider:

- equalities and human rights;
- public representations; and
- any other identified material considerations.

Assessment

The application is in retrospect. The application form states that the operation of the property as a short term let commenced in May 2021. This is prior to 5 September 2022, when the Edinburgh short term let control area came into effect. As the designation does not have a retrospective effect it is necessary to consider whether the use of the property as a commercial short term let is a material change of use.

Granting planning permission would change the use from a residential dwelling to STL. The use of the property as a STL, as a result of the granting of planning permission, would constitute a material change in the use of the property under Section 26 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997, given the associated characteristics of STL use in this location and property type.

a) The proposals harm the character or appearance of the conservation area?

Section 64(1) of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997 states:

"In exercise, with respect to any buildings or other land in a conservation area, of any powers under any of the provisions in subsection (2), special attention shall be paid to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of that area."

The Old Town Conservation Area Character Appraisal states: "emphasises the survival of the original medieval street pattern; the wealth of important landmark buildings; the survival of an outstanding collection of archaeological remains, medieval buildings, and 17th-century town houses; the consistent and harmonious height and mass of buildings; the importance of stone as a construction material for both buildings and the public realm; the vitality and variety of different uses; and the continuing presence of a residential community."

There are no external changes proposed. The change of use will not impact on the character or appearance of the conservation area. Therefore, the proposal does not conflict with the objective of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of the conservation area.

Conclusion in relation to the conservation area

The proposals comply with Section 64 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997.

c) The proposals comply with the development plan?

National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) was adopted by the Scottish Ministers on 13 February 2023 and forms part of the Council's Development Plan. NPF4 policies supports the planning and delivery of Sustainable Places, Liveable Places and Productive Places and are the key policies against which proposals for development are assessed. Several policies in the Edinburgh Local Development Plan (LDP) are superseded by equivalent and alternative policies within NPF4. The relevant policies to be considered are:

- NPF4 Sustainable Places Policy 1.
- NPF4 Historic Assets and Places Policy 7.
- NPF4 Productive Places Tourism Policy 30.
- LDP Housing Policy Hou 7.
- LDP Transport Policies Tra 2 and Tra 3.

The non-statutory 'Listed Buildings and Conservation Area' guidance is a material consideration that is relevant when considering historic assets.

The non-statutory 'Guidance for Businesses' (2024) is a material consideration that is relevant when considering change of use applications.

World Heritage Site and Conservation Area

There are no external or internal works proposed and as such there will not be a significant impact on historic assets and places. The proposal complies with NPF 4 Policy 7.

Proposed Use

With regards to NPF 4 Policy 1, the proposals do not involve operational development. The proposals will have a negligible impact on the global climate and nature crisis.

NPF 4 Policy 30 seeks to encourage, promote and facilitate sustainable tourism development which benefits local people, is consistent with our net zero and nature commitments, and inspires people to visit Scotland. Criterion 30 (e) specifically relates to STL proposals.

LDP Policy Hou 7 (Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas), seeks to protect residential amenity.

Amenity

The applicant's planning statement highlights that non-residential uses are intense within the wider area around the application property, therefore the proposed use would not affect nearby residents. It also suggests that a noise management system could be installed to ensure no negative impact on neighbouring amenity.

As there is a mix of uses in the surrounding area, there is a fairly high ambient noise level. Consequently, the introduction of an STL use in this location will have no further deterioration in the amenity of the surrounding area. However, the use of this property as an STL would introduce an increased frequency of movement to the property through the shared stair, in the shared courtyard and garden in front of the residential block. This would enable visitors to arrive and stay at the premises for a short period of time on a regular basis throughout the year in a manner dissimilar to that of permanent residents. There is no guarantee that guests would not come and go frequently throughout the day and night, and transient visitors may have less regard for neighbours' amenity than individuals using the property as a principal home. There is also a possible threat to residents' security and safety as STL guests are unfamiliar to long-term residents. A noise management system, as suggested, could not be effectively monitored and is not enforceable.

The additional servicing that operating a property as an STL requires compared to that of a residential use is also likely to result in an increase in disturbance, further impacting on neighbouring amenity. However, this would be of lesser impact as it is likely that servicing would be conducted during the daytime.

Despite the location of the site being close to a busy mixed-use environment, Ramsay Garden is predominantly residential and the proposed STL use would be significantly different from the ambient background noise that neighbouring residents within the communal stair might reasonably expect, and consequently will have a significantly detrimental effect on their living conditions and amenity. There could also be a negative impact on community cohesion.

The proposal does not comply with NPF 4 policy 30(e) part (i) and LDP policy Hou 7.

Loss of residential accommodation

NPF 4 policy 30 (e) part (ii) requires that where there is a loss of residential accommodation, this will only be supported where the loss is outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits.

Paragraph 220 of the LDP acknowledges that tourism is the biggest source of employment in Edinburgh, providing jobs for over 31,000 people. The use of the property by guests and the required maintenance and upkeep of STL properties are likely to result in a level of job creation and spend within the economy which can be classed as having an economic benefit.

The applicant's supporting statement asserts that the STL guests would support local attractions, local businesses, restaurants and cafe bars. It also highlights how the proposed use would bring visitors into the city where there are numerous opportunities for economic spend.

The current lawful use of the property is for residential accommodation. Consequently, the use of the property now as an STL would result in a loss of residential accommodation, which given the recognised need and demand for housing in Edinburgh is important to retain, where appropriate.

Further, it is important to recognise that residential occupation of the property contributes to the economy, in terms of providing a home and the spend in relation to the use of the property as a home, including the use of local services and resultant employment, as well as by making contributions to the local community.

In this instance, it has not been sufficiently demonstrated that the loss of the residential accommodation is outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits. As such, the proposal does not comply with NPF 4 30(e) part (ii).

Parking

There is no car parking available at the application property. The site is accessible by public transport. There are no cycle parking standards for STLs. Bikes could be parked within the property if required. The proposals comply with LDP policies Tra 2 and Tra 3.

Conclusion in relation to the Development Plan

The change of use of this property to an STL will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the city as a whole from the provision of tourist accommodation, in this case it does not outweigh the adverse impact on residential amenity. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7.

d) There are any other material considerations which must be addressed?

The following material planning considerations have been identified:

Emerging policy context

On 5 April 2024 the Planning and Environmental Appeals Division published its report into the examination of the Proposed City Plan 2030 and supporting documents in terms of Section 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997. The Council is currently considering the recommendations and modifications required to the Proposed City Plan 2030. It is the intention that the modifications will be considered by the Council before the end of June 2024. At this time in the context of the consideration of this particular application limited weight can be given to the relevant policies of City Plan 2030 until the proposed modifications have been fully considered.

Equalities and human rights

Due regard has been given to section 149 of the Equalities Act 2010. No impacts have been identified.

Consideration has been given to human rights. No impacts have been identified through the assessment and no comments have been received in relation to human rights.

Independent economic impact assessment

An independent economic impact assessment was commissioned by the Planning Service, and this resulted in a report on the Economic Impact of Residential and Short-Term Let Properties in Edinburgh (the Economic Report). This was reported to Planning Committee on 14 June 2023. The Committee noted that the findings of the report are one source of information that can be considered when assessing the economic impacts of short-term let planning applications and that given the report is considering generalities rather than the specifics of an individual case, it is likely that only limited weight can be attached to it as a material consideration when making planning application decisions. The study considered the economic impact of various types of properties in Edinburgh if used as a residential property as opposed to being used for short-term holiday lettings.

The Economic Report shows that there are positive economic impacts from the use of properties for both residential use and short-term let use. The Report found that in general the gross value added (GVA) effects are greater for residential uses than short-term lets across all property types and all areas. However, given it is considering generalities rather than the specifics of this individual case, only limited weight can be attached to it as a material consideration in the determination of this application.

Public representations

Eight representations have been received. Seven objections and one neutral comment. The neutral comment provides facts about Ramsay Garden development and raises no additional material planning considerations.

A summary of the representations is provided below:

material considerations

- Negative impact on residential amenity (including lack of security and safety). Addressed in b) above.
- Negative impact on housing stock. Addressed in b) above.
- Negative impact on character of the conservation area. Addressed in a) above.
- Will cause parking problems. Addressed in b) above.
- Contravenes Policy Hou 7 of LDP. Addressed in b) above.
- Contravenes NPF Policy 30 (e). Addressed in b) above.

non-material considerations

- Litter is a problem and STL guests often misuse recycling bins. The applicant should agree a waste strategy with CEC's Waste Services.
- STL guests can be careless about household appliances and can cause flooding.
- Absentee landlords do not maintain the buildings.
- Contradicts LDP Des 1, Des 5 and Env 4. The application must be assessed against relevant LDP policies.
- No effective controls limiting occupancy. This is an issue for STL licencing.
- Too many STLs in the area. Each application must be assessed on its individual merits.

Conclusion in relation to identified material considerations

Identified material considerations have been assessed above and do not raise issues which outweigh the conclusion in relation to the development plan.

Overall conclusion

The change of use of this property to an STL will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the city as a whole from the provision of tourist accommodation, in this case it does not outweigh the adverse impact on residential amenity or loss of residential accommodation. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

Section C - Conditions/Reasons/Informatives

The recommendation is subject to the following;

Reason for Refusal

1. The proposal is contrary to Local Development Plan Policy Hou 7 in respect of Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas, as the use of this property as a short term let will have a materially detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents.
2. The proposal is contrary to National Planning Framework 4 Policy 30(e) in respect of Local Amenity and Loss of Residential Accommodation, as the use of this dwelling as a short term let will result in an unacceptable impact on local amenity and the loss of a residential property has not been justified.

Background Reading/External References

To view details of the application go to the [Planning Portal](#)

Further Information - [Local Development Plan](#)

Date Registered: 27 September 2023

Drawing Numbers/Scheme

01, 02

Scheme 1

David Givan
Chief Planning Officer
PLACE
The City of Edinburgh Council

Contact: Lesley Porteous, Planning Officer
E-mail: lesley.porteous@edinburgh.gov.uk

Appendix 1

Consultations

NAME: HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT SCOTLAND

COMMENT: No comments.

DATE: 30 April 2024

The full consultation response can be viewed on the Planning & Building Standards Portal.

Appendix 2

Application Certification Record

Case Officer

I have assessed the application against the City of Edinburgh Council's Scheme of Delegation (2023) Appendix 6 – Chief Planning Officer and the Statutory Scheme of Delegation (2023) and can confirm the application is suitable to be determined under Local Delegated Decision, decision-making route.

Case Officer: Lesley Porteous

Date: 12 June 2024

Authorising Officer

To be completed by an officer as authorised by the Chief Planning Officer to determined applications under delegated powers.

I can confirm that I have checked the Report of Handling and agree the recommendation by the case officer.

Authorising Officer (mRTPI): Lynsey Townsend

Date: 19 June 2024



By email to:
lesley.porteous@edinburgh.gov.uk

City of Edinburgh Council
Planning and Strategy
4 Waverley Court
East Market Street
Edinburgh
EH8 8BG

Longmore House
Salisbury Place
Edinburgh
EH9 1SH

Enquiry Line: 0131-668-8716
HMConsultations@hes.scot

Our case ID: 300072460
Your ref: 23/04438/FULSTL
30 April 2024

Dear City of Edinburgh Council

Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (Scotland)
Regulations 2013
Flat 4 5 Ramsay Garden Edinburgh EH1 2NA - Retrospective change of use from flat (sui generis) to short-term let (Sui Generis).

Thank you for your consultation which we received on 10 April 2024. We have assessed it for our historic environment interests and consider that the proposals affect the following:

Ref	Name	Designation Type
100018438	Edinburgh World Heritage Site Boundary	World Heritage Sites Garden and Designed
GDL00367	THE NEW TOWN GARDENS	Landscape

You should also seek advice from your archaeology and conservation service for matters including unscheduled archaeology and category B and C-listed buildings.

Our Advice

We have considered the information received and do not have any comments to make on the proposals. Our decision not to provide comments should not be taken as our support for the proposals. This application should be determined in accordance with national and local policy on development affecting the historic environment, together with related policy guidance.

Further Information



HISTORIC
ENVIRONMENT
SCOTLAND

ÀRAINNEACHD
EACHDRAIDHEIL
ALBA

This response applies to the application currently proposed. An amended scheme may require another consultation with us.

Guidance about national policy can be found in our 'Managing Change in the Historic Environment' series available online at www.historicenvironment.scot/advice-and-support/planning-and-guidance/legislation-and-guidance/managing-change-in-the-historic-environment-guidance-notes/. Technical advice is available through our Technical Conservation website at www.engineshed.org.

Yours faithfully

Historic Environment Scotland