

QUESTION NO 6

**By Councillor by the Rust for answer
by the Convener of the Transport and
Environment Committee at a meeting
of the Council on 10 February 2022**

Please could the Convener clarify the following:

Question (1) Is there any limit on the complexity and length of a scheme under a single ETRO?

Answer (1)

Question (2) In point 4.72 in the Spaces for People report brought to Transport and Environment committee on 24 June 2021, it stated that for ETRO schemes "*which, following monitoring, are proposed for retention on a permanent basis, a **report on permanent TROs will need to be brought forward at the same time as the six month review of the ETRO** to allow time for this to be considered, the appropriate Orders advertised and any objections dealt with, before the time limitation on the ETRO is reached.*

Therefore, can an ETRO be a genuine experiment if a scheme has already been in situ for a year under a TTRO (more than double the length of time it would have been in under an ETRO before a recommendation to retain is made) and data on effectiveness has already been gathered?

Answer (2)

Question (3) If a scheme has already been in situ for a year and no data/insufficient data has been gathered on effectiveness in spite of the opportunities to do so, how could an ETRO be justified?

Answer (3)

Question (4) If it is clear at the beginning of a proposed ETRO that changes to roads could have a negative impact on certain groups (including those covered by the Equality Act 2010) what will ensure the ETRO is legally proportionate?

Answer (4)

Question (5) Can an ETRO be lawfully implemented as a genuine experiment if there are no funds ringfenced /set aside to remove it?

Answer (5)