

**QUESTION NO 28**

**By Councillor Cowdy for answer by the Convener of the Transport and Environment Committee at a meeting of the Council on 9 February 2023.**

**Integrated Impact Assessment (IIA) for each ETRO 202\_ - TRO/21/30**

IIAs for schemes installed as a temporary emergency response should be different from IIAs for schemes moving to permanency. In the original IIAs for various Spaces for People schemes, there is mention of intended benefits for people with disabilities. However, the reality was that after installation several disability groups emphasised there are many negative impacts for people with disabilities. Spaces for People was raised as an example of eco-ableism by Inclusion Scotland at COP26 and Council Officers graded around two thirds of schemes as having a negative impact for disabled people.

Can the Convener confirm that:

- |                 |   |
|-----------------|---|
| <b>Question</b> | <b>(1)</b> An IIA has been carried out for <b>each</b> of the proposed ETROs  |
| <b>Answer</b>   | <b>(1)</b>  |
| <b>Question</b> | <b>(2)</b> These IIAs considered the impact of reductions in parking and lack of any kerbside parking, now that council officers claim kerbside blue badge spaces can no longer be installed on some streets? |
| <b>Answer</b>   | <b>(2)</b>  |
| <b>Question</b> | <b>(3)</b> The IIAs have been updated from those created under Spaces for People to reflect any differences in the ETRO schemes from those implemented under SfP?   |
| <b>Answer</b>   | <b>(3)</b>  |