

# INTERIM GUIDANCE ON THE PRINCIPLES OF LISTED BUILDING CONSENT APRIL 2019



HISTORIC  
ENVIRONMENT  
SCOTLAND

ÀRAINNEACHD  
EACHDRAIDHEIL  
ALBA

This guidance was published by Historic Environment Scotland as part of the Historic Environment Scotland Policy Statement (2016). This edition is an interim document published by Historic Environment Scotland in 2019 to provide detailed guidance on the application of Historic Environment Policy for Scotland (HEPS, 2019). It sets out the principles HES recommends are followed in implementing the requirements of Scottish Planning Policy paragraphs 141 and 142 and is a material consideration in the planning process. It should be read alongside HEPS and the Managing Change Guidance Notes series. We will be reviewing and updating our Managing Change Guidance series and any new guidance will be subject to public consultation.

## **HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT SCOTLAND GUIDANCE ON LISTED BUILDING CONSENT**

1. Historic buildings and structures are a highly visible and accessible element of Scotland's rich heritage. They cover a wide range of uses and periods, which together chart a great part of the history of Scotland. They encompass all aspects of life, from education to recreation, to defense, industry, homes and worship. Much of Scotland's social and economic past and its present is expressed in these exceptional buildings. Listing recognises their importance.

2. Listed buildings are protected under the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) (Scotland) Act 1997. This establishes that any work which affects the character of a listed building will require listed building consent. It is a criminal offence to carry out such work without listed building consent.

3. In assessing an application for listed building consent, the planning authority is required to have special regard to the desirability of preserving the building, or its setting, or any features of special architectural or historic interest which it possesses (Scottish Planning Policy paragraph 141-142).

4. Works of like-for-like repair or other works which do not affect a building's character, would not normally require listed building consent. Such works could include repointing a wall or altering part of a building which does not contribute to the overall special interest.

5. Listed building consent is separate from the statutory planning process but there is a close relationship between them and this guidance should therefore be read in conjunction with current Scottish Government planning policy

for the historic environment. The two systems may run in parallel in cases where planning permission is also required, and such cases are normally dealt with most effectively if applications for planning permission and listed building consent are submitted at the same time. It is not possible to seek or to be given outline listed building consent.

6. The majority of listed buildings are adaptable and have met the needs of successive generations while retaining their character. Change should therefore be managed to protect a building's special interest while enabling it to remain in active use. Each case must be judged on its own merits but in general terms listing rarely prevents adaptation to modern requirements but ensures that work is done in a sensitive and informed manner.

7. In the context of listed buildings the sustainable use and management of the historic environment means recognising the advantages to be gained from retaining existing buildings and ensuring that their special interest is protected.

8. Once lost listed buildings cannot be replaced. They can be robbed of their special interest either by inappropriate alteration or by demolition. There is, therefore, a presumption against demolition or other works that adversely affect the special interest of a listed building or its setting.

9. Listed buildings will however, like other buildings, require alteration and adaptation from time to time if they are to remain in beneficial use, and will be at risk if such alteration and adaptation is unduly constrained. In most cases such change, if approached carefully, can be managed without adversely affecting the special interest of the building.

10. Knowing what is important about a building is central to an understanding of how to protect its special interest. Applications should demonstrate that in arriving at a strategy for intervention, the importance of the building has been clearly understood and those features which contribute to its special interest have been identified.

11. In general the more extensive the intervention which is proposed, the more supporting information applications should provide. Where proposals involve significant intervention, evidence that less intrusive options have been considered should be provided. Where the application would have a significantly adverse effect on the building's special interest, but is believed to offer significant benefits to economic growth or the wider community, applicants should prepare a statement which justifies the intervention in relation to these benefits. This statement should demonstrate that the benefits could not be realised without the intervention proposed.

12. Planning authorities should satisfy themselves, as soon as applications are received, that they include an appropriate level of supporting information.

13. Where a proposal protects or enhances the special interest of the building, for example through the like-for-like replacement of a window or door which is beyond repair or the reinstatement of important detailing, consent should normally be granted.

14. Where a proposal involves alteration or adaptation which will sustain or enhance the beneficial use of the building and does not adversely affect the special interest of the building, consent should normally be granted.

15. Where a proposal involves alteration or adaptation which will have an adverse or significantly adverse impact on the special interest of the building, planning authorities, in reaching decisions should consider carefully:

- a. the relative importance of the special interest of the building; and
- b. the scale of the impact of the proposals on that special interest; and
- c. whether there are other options which would ensure a continuing beneficial use for the building with less impact on its special interest; and
- d. whether there are significant benefits for economic growth or the wider community which justify a departure from the presumption set out in paragraph 8.

