

Lucid Architecture Limited.  
FAO: Andy Whyte  
Unit 1, 55 Ruthven Lane  
Glasgow  
G12 9BG

Mr Simpson  
1F1 108 Mcdonald Road  
Edinburgh  
EH7 4NQ

**Decision date: 29 April 2024**

**TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (SCOTLAND) ACTS  
DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT PROCEDURE (SCOTLAND) REGULATIONS 2013**

Change of use to short term let for 240 nights in a calendar year.  
At 1F1 108 Mcdonald Road Edinburgh EH7 4NQ

**Application No: 23/04320/FULSTL**

**DECISION NOTICE**

With reference to your application for Planning Permission STL registered on 13 October 2023, this has been decided by **Local Delegated Decision**. The Council in exercise of its powers under the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Acts and regulations, now determines the application as **Refused** in accordance with the particulars given in the application.

Any condition(s) attached to this consent, with reasons for imposing them, or reasons for refusal, are shown below;

**Reason for Refusal:-**

1. The proposal is contrary to Local Development Plan Policy Hou 7 in respect of Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas, as the use of this dwelling as a short term let will have a materially detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents.
2. The proposal is contrary to National Planning Framework Policy 30(e) in respect of Local Amenity and Loss of Residential Accommodation, as the use of this dwelling as a short term let will result in an adverse impact on local amenity and the loss of a residential property that has not been justified.

Please see the guidance notes on our [decision page](#) for further information, including how to appeal or review your decision.

Drawings 01-05, represent the determined scheme. Full details of the application can be found on the [Planning and Building Standards Online Services](#)

The reason why the Council made this decision is as follows:

The change of use of this property to a short term let (STL) will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the City as a whole from the provision of visitor accommodation in this case it does not outweigh the loss of residential accommodation or the adverse impact on residential amenity. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

This determination does not carry with it any necessary consent or approval for the proposed development under other statutory enactments.

Should you have a specific enquiry regarding this decision please contact James Armstrong directly at [james.armstrong@edinburgh.gov.uk](mailto:james.armstrong@edinburgh.gov.uk).



**Chief Planning Officer**  
**PLACE**  
**The City of Edinburgh Council**

## NOTES

1. If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision to refuse permission for or approval required by a condition in respect of the proposed development, or to grant permission or approval subject to conditions, the applicant may require the planning authority to review the case under section 43A of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 within three months beginning with the date of this notice. The Notice of Review can be made online at [www.eplanning.scot](http://www.eplanning.scot) or forms can be downloaded from that website. Paper forms should be addressed to the City of Edinburgh Planning Local Review Body, G.2, Waverley Court, 4 East Market Street, Edinburgh, EH8 8BG. For enquiries about the Local Review Body, please email [localreviewbody@edinburgh.gov.uk](mailto:localreviewbody@edinburgh.gov.uk).

2. If permission to develop land is refused or granted subject to conditions and the owner of the land claims that the land has become incapable of reasonably beneficial use in its existing state and cannot be rendered capable of reasonably beneficial use by carrying out of any development which has been or would be permitted, the owner of the land may serve on the planning authority a purchase notice requiring the purchase of the owner of the land's interest in the land accordance with Part 5 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

# Report of Handling

**Application for Planning Permission STL  
1F1 108 Mcdonald Road, Edinburgh, EH7 4NQ**

**Proposal: Change of use to short term let for 240 nights in a calendar year.**

**Item – Local Delegated Decision  
Application Number – 23/04320/FULSTL  
Ward – B12 - Leith Walk**

## **Recommendation**

It is recommended that this application be **Refused** subject to the details below.

## **Summary**

The change of use of this property to a short term let (STL) will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the City as a whole from the provision of visitor accommodation in this case it does not outweigh the loss of residential accommodation or the adverse impact on residential amenity. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

## **SECTION A – Application Background**

### **Site Description**

The application site is a first floor two bedroom flat on the south western side of Mcdonald Road. The property shares its access to the street via a communal stairway. It shares access to a communal garden area.

Mcdonald Road is of predominantly residential character, with a fire station and office building located immediately across the road from the application site.

### **Description Of The Proposal**

The application is for retrospective change of use from flatted dwelling to short term let (sui generis) for 240 nights of the calendar year. No internal or external physical changes are proposed.

### **Supporting Information**

Supporting Statement.

### **Relevant Site History**

No relevant site history.

### **Other Relevant Site History**

No other relevant site history was identified.

### **Consultation Engagement**

No consultations.

### **Publicity and Public Engagement**

**Date of Neighbour Notification:** 29 April 2024

**Date of Advertisement:** Not Applicable

**Date of Site Notice:** Not Applicable

**Number of Contributors:** 1

## **Section B - Assessment**

### **Determining Issues**

This report will consider the proposed development under Sections 24, 25 and 37 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997 (the 1997 Act):

Having regard to the legal requirement of Section 24(3), in the event of any policy incompatibility between National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) & Edinburgh Local Development Plan 2016 (LDP) the newer policy shall prevail.

Do the proposals comply with the development plan?

If the proposals do comply with the development plan, are there any compelling material considerations for not approving them?

If the proposals do not comply with the development plan, are there any compelling material considerations for approving them?

In the assessment of material considerations this report will consider:

- equalities and human rights;
- public representations; and
- any other identified material considerations.

### **Assessment**

The application is in retrospect and the applicant states on the application form that the operation of the property as a short term let commenced in 2018. This is before 5 September 2022, which is when the Edinburgh short term let control area designation came into effect. As the designation does not have a retrospective effect it is necessary to consider whether the use of the property as a commercial short stay let is a material change of use.

On the basis of the application form, the description of development, drawings and supporting information it is considered that the proposals constitute a material change of use under Section 26 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997.

**a) The proposals comply with the development plan?**

National Planning Framework 4 (NPF4) was adopted by the Scottish Ministers on 13 February 2023 and forms part of the Council's Development Plan. NPF4 policies supports the planning and delivery of Sustainable Places, Liveable Places and Productive Places and are the key policies against which proposals for development are assessed. Several policies in the Edinburgh Local Development Plan (LDP) are superseded by equivalent and alternative policies within NPF4.

The relevant NPF4 and LDP 2016 policies to be considered are:

- NPF4 Sustainable Places Tackling the climate and nature crises Policy 1.
- NPF4 Productive Places Tourism Policy 30.
- Local Development Plan Housing Policy, Hou 7.
- Local Development Plan Transport Policies, Tra 2 and Tra 3

The non-statutory Guidance for Business (2024) is a material consideration that is relevant when considering LDP Policy Hou 7 and NPF4 policy 30.

The Edinburgh Design Guidance is a material consideration when considering LDP Policies Tra 2 and Tra 3.

Proposed use

With regards to NPF 4 Policy 1, the proposed change of use does not involve operational development resulting in physical changes to the property. The proposals will have a negligible impact on the global climate and nature crisis.

NPF 4 Policy 30 seeks to encourage, promote and facilitate sustainable tourism development which benefits local people, is consistent with our net zero and nature commitments, and inspires people to visit Scotland. Criterion 30 (e) specifically relate to STL proposals.

LDP Policy Hou 7 (Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas) seeks to protect residential amenity.

*Amenity*

The use of the property as an STL would result in an increased frequency of movement to the property via the shared stairwell, representing a pattern of movement that would be dissimilar to that of permanent residents. A transient visitor may also have less regard for neighbours' amenity than individuals using the property as a principal home, with resultant negative amenity impacts, particularly at night. The amenity impacts of the proposed use are increased by the property's access to a rear garden area, whilst there may also be a negative impact to community cohesion and residents' safety from the proposals.

However, it is recognised that the amenity impacts of the application are in part mitigated by the likelihood that the additional servicing of the property necessitated by the change of use would be conducted during the daytime.

On balance, the proposed change of use would increase the level of ambient background noise beyond what might be reasonably expected by neighbouring residents, and have a significant detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents. The proposal does not comply with NPF 4 policy 30(e) part (i) and LDP policy Hou 7.

#### *Loss of residential accommodation*

NPF 4 policy 30 (e) part (ii) requires that where there is a loss of residential accommodation, this will only be supported where the loss is outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits.

Paragraph 220 of the LDP acknowledges that tourism is the biggest source of employment in Edinburgh, providing jobs for over 31,000 people. The use of the property by guests and the required maintenance and upkeep of STL properties are likely to result in a level of job creation and spend within the economy which can be classed as having an economic benefit.

The applicant's supporting statement notes that the proposed STL use is for 240 nights of the year, with the flat then reverting to its lawful use as a flatted dwelling for the remaining part of the year. However, the use of the property as an STL for 240 nights of the year would result in the loss of residential accommodation for this period each year, and impact on the feasible use of the property being used as a permanent dwelling outwith these dates. Given the recognised need and demand for housing in Edinburgh, it is important to retain housing where appropriate.

It is important to recognise that having the property in residential use also contributes to the economy, using local services and fulfilling employment opportunities across the City. Long term residents can also make consistent and long-term contributions to the local community.

The proposed change of use would result in a loss of residential accommodation, which, as there is a recognised need and demand for housing in Edinburgh, it is critical to retain the existing supply of where appropriate. In this instance, it has not been sufficiently demonstrated that the loss of the residential accommodation is outweighed by demonstrable local economic benefits. As such, the proposal does not comply with NPF 4 30(e) part (ii).

#### Transport

No parking is proposed. This is acceptable as there are no parking requirements for STLs. The proposed use will not result in a significant impact to public transport services in the local area.

The proposals comply with LDP Policies Tra 2 and Tra 3.

### **Conclusion in relation to the Development Plan**

The change of use of this property to an STL will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the City as a whole from the provision of visitor accommodation in this case it does not outweigh the loss of residential accommodation or the adverse impact on residential amenity. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7.

#### **b) There are any other material considerations which must be addressed?**

The following material planning considerations have been identified:

##### Emerging policy context

On 5 April 2024 the Planning and Environmental Appeals Division published its report into the examination of the Proposed City Plan 2030 and supporting documents in terms of Section 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Scotland) Act 1997. The Council is currently considering the recommendations and modifications required to the Proposed City Plan 2030. It is the intention that the modifications will be considered by the Council before the end of June 2024. At this time in the context of the consideration of this particular application limited weight can be given to the relevant policies of City Plan 2030 until the proposed modifications have been fully considered.

##### Independent economic impact assessment

An independent economic impact assessment was commissioned by the Planning Service, and this resulted in a report on the Economic Impact of Residential and Short-Term Let Properties in Edinburgh (the Economic Report). This was reported to Planning Committee on 14 June 2023. The Committee noted that the findings of the report are one source of information that can be considered when assessing the economic impacts of short-term let planning applications and that given the report is considering generalities rather than the specifics of an individual case, it is likely that only limited weight can be attached to it as a material consideration when making planning application decisions. The study considered the economic impact of various types of properties in Edinburgh if used as a residential property as opposed to being used for short-term holiday lettings.

The Economic Report shows that there are positive economic impacts from the use of properties for both residential use and short-term let use. The Report found that in general the gross value added (GVA) effects are greater for residential uses than short-term lets across all property types and all areas. However, given it is considering generalities rather than the specifics of this individual case, only limited weight can be attached to it as a material consideration in the determination of this application.

## Equalities and human rights

Due regard has been given to section 149 of the Equalities Act 2010. No impacts have been identified.

Consideration has been given to human rights. No impacts have been identified through the assessment and no comments have been received in relation to human rights.

## Public representations

One objection was received from a neighbour. A summary of the issues raised is below:

### *material considerations*

- Changes the residential character of the area. Assessed in section a).
- Loss of residential accommodation. Assessed in section a).

### *non-material considerations*

- Tourists should stay elsewhere.

## **Conclusion in relation to identified material considerations**

Identified material considerations have been assessed above and do not raise issues which outweigh the conclusion in relation to the development plan.

## **Overall conclusion**

The change of use of this property to a short term let will have an unacceptable impact on neighbouring amenity. The loss of the residential accommodation has not been justified. Whilst it is recognised that there is an economic benefit to the City as a whole from the provision of visitor accommodation in this case it does not outweigh the loss of residential accommodation or the adverse impact on residential amenity. The proposal does not comply with the Development Plan policy NPF 4 policy 30(e) and LDP policy Hou 7. There are no material considerations that outweigh this conclusion. The proposal is unacceptable.

## **Section C - Conditions/Reasons/Informatives**

The recommendation is subject to the following;

### **Reason for Refusal**

1. The proposal is contrary to Local Development Plan Policy Hou 7 in respect of Inappropriate Uses in Residential Areas, as the use of this dwelling as a short term let

will have a materially detrimental effect on the living conditions and amenity of nearby residents.

2. The proposal is contrary to National Planning Framework Policy 30(e) in respect of Local Amenity and Loss of Residential Accommodation, as the use of this dwelling as a short term let will result in an adverse impact on local amenity and the loss of a residential property that has not been justified.

### **Background Reading/External References**

To view details of the application go to the [Planning Portal](#)

**Further Information** - [Local Development Plan](#)

**Date Registered: 13 October 2023**

### **Drawing Numbers/Scheme**

01-05

Scheme 1

**David Givan**  
**Chief Planning Officer**  
**PLACE**  
**The City of Edinburgh Council**

Contact: James Armstrong, Planning Officer  
E-mail: [james.armstrong@edinburgh.gov.uk](mailto:james.armstrong@edinburgh.gov.uk)

Appendix 1

## **Consultations**

No consultations undertaken.

## Appendix 2

### **Application Certification Record**

#### **Case Officer**

I have assessed the application against the City of Edinburgh Council's Scheme of Delegation (2023) Appendix 6 – Chief Planning Officer and the Statutory Scheme of Delegation (2023) and can confirm the application is suitable to be determined under Local Delegated Decision, decision-making route.

Case Officer: James Armstrong

Date: 25 January 2024

#### **Authorising Officer**

To be completed by an officer as authorised by the Chief Planning Officer to determined applications under delegated powers.

I can confirm that I have checked the Report of Handling and agree the recommendation by the case officer.

Authorising Officer (mRTPI): Damian McAfee

Date: 29 April 2024

# Comments for Planning Application 23/04320/FULSTL

## Application Summary

Application Number: 23/04320/FULSTL

Address: 1F1 108 Mcdonald Road Edinburgh EH7 4NQ

Proposal: Change of use to short term let for 240 nights in a calendar year.

Case Officer: Improvement Team

## Customer Details

Name: Berta Fernandes

Address: 114 McDonald Road Edinburgh

## Comment Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour-Residential

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Comment Reasons:

Comment: I'm objecting to this application.

In my opinion residential areas should be for residential living. There are no sightseeing attractions nearby, so it is difficult to understand why tourists cannot opt for staying at a hotel instead.

This city has a housing crisis, it is very difficult to rent or buy property due to the high demand and short supply. This is especially difficult for first time buyers or people with lower incomes. We should leave areas like this one, where properties are more affordable, for people that actually need to live in Edinburgh, work and pay taxes.